arXiv:1601.06852v2 [math.AP] 20 Sep 2016

Classification theorems for solutions of higher order boundary conformally invariant problems, I

Liming Sun and Jingang Xiong*

September 21, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that nonnegative polyharmonic functions on the upper half space satisfying a conformally invariant nonlinear boundary condition have to be the "*polynomials* plus *bubbles*" form. The nonlinear problem is motivated by the recent studies of boundary GJMS operators and the Q-curvature in conformal geometry. The result implies that in the conformal class of the unit Euclidean ball there exist metrics with a single singular boundary point which have flat Q-curvature and constant boundary Q-curvature. Moreover, all of such metrics are classified. This phenomenon differs from that of boundary singular metrics which have flat scalar curvature and constant mean curvature, where the singular set contains at least two points. A crucial ingredient of the proof is developing an approach to separate the higher order linear effect and the boundary nonlinear effect so that the kernels of the nonlinear problem are captured.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminary **6**
2.1 Poisson kernel for a Dirichlet problem 7
2.2 Neumann function for a Neumann problem 10

3 Polyharmonic functions with homogeneous boundary data **12**
3.1 Extensions of Liouville theorem 12
3.2 Extensions of Bôcher theorem 16

4 Isolated singularity for nonlinear boundary data **18**

*Supported in part by NSFC 11501034, NSFC 11571019, Beijing MCESEDD (20131002701) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the central University (2015NT03).

5 Proof of main theorem **30**

6 An application to conformal geometry **33**

1 Introduction

In the classical paper [4], Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck established the asymptotic behavior for local positive solutions of the elliptic equation $-\Delta u = n(n-2)u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$, $n \geq 3$, near an isolated singularity. Consequently, they proved that any positive entire solution of the equation has to be the form

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|x-x_0|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0,\ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Particular interests of the above equation lie in its relation to the Yamabe problem (see Lee-Park [29]). Such Liouville type theorem has been extended to general conformally invariant nonlinear equations; see Lin [36] and Wei-Xu [42] for higher order semi-linear equations, Chen-Li-Ou [13], Li [31] and many others for integral equation, as well as Li-Li [30] for fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations.

Li-Zhu [35] and Ou [39] independently proved that any positive solution of

$$-\Delta u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ := \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,\infty), \tag{1}$$

$$-\partial_t u(x,0) = (n-1)u^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \tag{2}$$

where $n \geq 2$, has to be the form

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2|x-x_0|^2+(\lambda t+1)^2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad \lambda > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3}$$

Throughout the paper $\partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ does not contain the infinity. See also Beckner [2] and Escobar [17] if u is an extremal of the sharp Sobolev trace inequality, and Li-Zhang [34], Jin-Li-Xiong [26] and references therein for related results. The isolated singularity problem has been studied recently by Caffarelli-Jin-Sire-Xiong [5], DelaTorre-González [14] and DelaTorre-del Pino-Gonzalez-Wei [15] as a special case. The nonlinear problem (1)-(2) arises from a boundary Yamabe problem or Riemann mapping problem of Escobar [18], sharp trace inequalities, nonlinear Neumann problems (see Cherrier [11]), and etc.

By the work Feffermann-Graham [19], Graham-Jenne-Mason-Sparling [23], and Graham-Zworski [24], there defines a class of conformally invariant operators on the conformal infinity of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds via scattering matrices. Such conformally invariant operators define fractional Q-curvatures. By the work Caffarelli-Silvestre [6], Chang-González [9], Yang [44] and Case-Chang [8], the boundary Yamabe problem mentioned above is the constant first

order Q-curvature problem. If one considers the constant odd order Q-curvature problem on the conformal infinity of Poincaré ball or hyperbolic upper half space, it will lead to study positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problem of polyharmonic equations

$$\Delta^m u(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_t \Delta^k u(x,0) = 0, \quad (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u(x,0) = u^{\frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \tag{5}$$

where $2 \le 2m < n+1$ is an integer, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$. One may view $(-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1}$ as $(-\partial_t)(-\Delta)^{m-1} \sim (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta)^{m-1}$. Hence, the above problem connects to

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{2m-1}{2}} u = u^{\frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{6}$$

However, we will see that (4)-(5) admits more solutions. Since we do not assume u to be a minimizer or belong to some Sobolev space of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, there is no information of u near the infinity.

In this paper, we classify solutions of problem (4)-(5) and the subcritical cases. Consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m u(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t \Delta^k u(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m-2, \\ (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u(x,0) = u^p & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $2 \le 2m < n+1$ is an integer and $1 < p \le \frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}$. We will show the nonnegative solutions of this problem are the composition of the following "bubbles" and some polynomials

$$U_{x_0,\lambda}(X) = c(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2m-1}}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|y-x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}} \mathrm{d}y \tag{8}$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$ and $c(n,m) > 0$ is some normalizing constant. The presence of polynomial part is a new phenomenon. More precisely

Theorem 1.1. *Let $u \ge 0$ be a $C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ solution of* (7)*. In case of that m is even, we additionally suppose that $u(x,t) = o((|x|^2+t^2)^{\frac{2m-1}{2}})$ as $x^2+t^2 \to \infty$. Then*

(i) If $p = \frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}$, we have

$$u(x,t) = U_{x_0,\lambda}(x,t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x),$$

where $U_{x_0,\lambda}$ is defined in (8) *for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \ge 0$, and $P_{2k}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\le 2m-2-2k$ satisfying $\liminf_{x\to\infty} P_{2k}(x) \ge 0$.*

(ii) If $1 < p < \frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}$*, we have*

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x),$$

where $P_{2k}(x) \geq 0$ *is a polynomial of degree* $\leq 2m-2-2k$*.*

Remark 1.2. *For* $m = 1$*,* $U_{x_0,\lambda}$ *defined* (8) *equals* (3) *up to a constant. For* $m = 2$*, we have*

$$U_{x_0,\lambda}(X) = C(n)\left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda t)^2 + \lambda^2|x-x_0|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} + C(n)(n-3)t\left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda t)^2 + \lambda^2|x-x_0|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

with $C(n) = [2(n-3)(n^2-1)]^{\frac{n-3}{6}}$*.*

Remark 1.3. *If* m *is even and the growth condition is removed, there is another class of solutions*

$$H_a(x,t) = \frac{a}{(2m-1)!}t^{2m-1} + a^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad a \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

We conjecture that for even m*, all solutions have to be*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + H_a(x,t) \quad \textit{or} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + U_{x_0,\lambda}(x,t)$$

if $p = \frac{n+2m-1}{n-2m+1}$*, while only the former expression can happen if* $1 < p < \frac{n+2m-1}{n-2m+1}$*.*

By conformally transforming the upper half space to the unit ball, Theorem 1.1 implies that in the conformal class of the unit Euclidean ball there exist metrics with a single singular boundary point which have flat Q-curvature and constant boundary Q-curvature. See Section 6 of the paper for more details. When $m = 1$, there is no such metric which is singular on single boundary point because the polynomial part vanishes and the bubble is smooth at the infinity. Hence, boundary singular metrics have at least two singular points which is similar to the singular metrics on the unit sphere of constant scalar curvature; see Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [4] and Schoen [41]. Other possible applications of Theorem 1.1 would be seen in Jin-Li-Xiong [27], Li-Xiong [33] and references therein.

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 for $m = 1$ by Li-Zhu [35] or Ou [39] rely on the maximum principle in order to use the moving spheres/planes method. In contrast, for $m \geq 2$ the elliptic operators have nontrivial kernels and thus solutions of (7) could lose the maximum principle. To extract the kernels, we need to analyze the behavior of u near the infinity. Due to the conformal invariance of equations, the m-Kelvin transform u^* of u with respect to the unit sphere satisfies (4) and

$$\partial_t \Delta^k u^*(x,0) = 0, \quad (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u^*(x,0) = |x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \quad \text{in } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\},$$

where $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-2$, and $\tau = [n + (2m-1)] - p[n - (2m-1)] \geq 0$. As Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck [4], Lin [36] and Wei-Xu [42] did, one may wish to show $|x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \in L^1$ near 0. However, since the linear equation itself would generate higher order singularities than the nonlinear term does, the methods of [4], [36] and [42] seem not to be applicable to $m \geq 2$. Even worse, this is wrong when m is even; see for instance the m-Kelvin transform of H_a, $a > 0$, in Remark 1.3. In fact, the method of [4] is by constructing test function which is of second order equation nature. And it is unclear how to adapt the ODE analysis procedure of [36] and [42] to our setting without information about the possible kernels. As the initial step, we prove that $u^*(x,0)$ belongs to L^1 (see Lemma 4.2), and then by a Poisson extension we are able to capture the singularity generated by the linear equation. A Liouville type theorem (see Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2) for polyharmonic functions with a homogeneous boundary data plays an important role. Our method of proof of Theorem 3.2 is very flexible and can be easily adapted to polyharmonic functions with other homogeneous boundary data. Next, by subtracting the linear effect we prove $|x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \in L^1$, where the growth condition is assumed if m is even. In this step a new method is developed. In particular, if p is less than the Serrin's exponent $\frac{n}{n-2m+1}$ we have to spend extra efforts. By a Neumann extension of $|x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p$ and making use of a boundary Bôcher theorem (see Corollary 3.4), we prove a crucial splitting result for u; see Proposition 5.1. It captures the polynomials $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x)$ and implies the maximum principle for $v(x,t) := u(x,t) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x)$ which is completely controlled by the nonlinear effect. Since $v(x,0) = u(x,0)$, v satisfies a nonlinear integral equation. By Chen-Li-Ou [13], Li [31], or Dou-Zhu [16], $v(x,t)$ is then classified.

Our method of proof of Theorem 1.1 can be applied to constant fractional Q-curvature equation on the conformal infinity of hyperbolic upper half space, and can be applied to multiple nonlinear boundary conditions; see Chang-Qing [10], Branson-Gover [3] and Case [7] for the discussions of other conformally invariant boundary operators. We leave them to another paper.

If $2m = n + 1$, (5) will be replaced by

$$\partial_t \Delta^k u(x,0) = 0, \quad (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u(x,0) = e^{(2m-1)u} \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \tag{10}$$

and u is not necessarily positive. When $m \geq 2$, in order to have a classification theorem one has to assume that (i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(2m-1)u(x,0)} < \infty$, (ii) $|u(x,0)| = o(|x|^2)$ near the infinity, (iii) certain growth conditions on $u(x,t)$ near the infinity. See, for instance, Jin-Maalaoui-Martinazzi-Xiong [28] and references therein on why (i) and (ii) can not be dropped. Given (i), (ii) and (iii), one can prove a splitting theorem like Theorem 1.1 easily by the Bôcher theorem (see Corollary 3.4) and Xu [43]. We decide not to pursue it in this paper.

Finally, we remark that there have been many papers devoted to Liouville theorems for non-negative solutions of nonlinear polyharmonic equations with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition or homogeneous Navier boundary condition; see Reichel-Weth [40], Lu-Wang-Zhu [38], Chen-Fang-Li [12] and references therein, where they proved that 0 is the unique solution.

The organization of the paper is shown in the table of contents.

Notations:

X	$(x,t)=(x^1,\dots,x^n,t)\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$
$B_r(X)$	ball with radial r centered at X in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $B_r=B_r(0)$
B_r^+	$B_r\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$
$\partial^+B_r^+$	$\partial B_r^+\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$
D_r	ball centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$, identifying $D_r=\partial B_r^+\backslash\overline{\partial^+B_r^+}$
$[f]_r$	$\fint_{\partial D_r} f\,\mathrm{d}\sigma$, the integral average of f over ∂D_r
χ_A	the characteristic function of the measurable set A in the Euclidean spaces

We will always assume $2m<n+1$ if it is not specified. We will use Green's identity and its variants repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_1^+}(u\Delta^m\phi-\phi\Delta^m u)\,dX=&\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{\partial^+B_1^+}\left[(\Delta^{i-1}u)\frac{\partial(\Delta^{m-i}\phi)}{\partial\nu}-(\Delta^{m-i}\phi)\frac{\partial(\Delta^{i-1}u)}{\partial\nu}\right]dS\\&-\sum_{i=1}^m\int_{D_1}\left[(\Delta^{i-1}u)\partial_t(\Delta^{m-i}\phi)-(\Delta^{m-i}\phi)\partial_t(\Delta^{i-1}u)\right]dx\end{aligned}$$

where ν is the outer unit normal of $\partial^+B_1^+$.

2 Preliminary

Let us recall that Δ^m is invariant under the m-Kelvin transformations

$$u_{X_0,\lambda}(X):=\left(\frac{\lambda}{|X-X_0|}\right)^{n-2m+1}u\left(X_0+\frac{\lambda^2(X-X_0)}{|X-X_0|^2}\right),$$

where $2m<n+1$, $X_0\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\lambda>0$. Namely, if $u\in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ then there holds

$$\Delta^m u_{X_0,\lambda}(X)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{|X-X_0|}\right)^{n+2m-1}\Delta^m u\left(X_0+\frac{\lambda^2(X-X_0)}{|X-X_0|^2}\right)\quad\text{for } X\neq X_0.\tag{11}$$

There are various of boundary conditions for the polyharmonic equation, see Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg [1] or Gazzola-Grunau-Sweers [21]. For the later use, we only consider two of them. One is like the Dirichlet condition and the other is a Neumann condition. We will be concerned with bounds of singular integrals involving the Poisson kernel and Neumann function, respectively. These bounds will play important roles in the proof of the main theorem.

2.1 Poisson kernel for a Dirichlet problem

Let us consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m v(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ v(x,0) = f(x) & \text{on } \partial\,\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t \Delta^k v(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\,\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

where f is a smooth bounded function in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $k = 0, \dots, m-2$ (if $m = 1$, then we do not have this boundary condition). Let

$$\mathcal{P}_m(x,t) = \beta(n,m) \frac{t^{2m-1}}{(|x|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}},$$

where $\beta(n,m) = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n+2m-1}{2})/\Gamma(m-\frac{1}{2})$ is the normalizing constant such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{P}_m(x,1)\,\mathrm{d}x = 1.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}_1$ is the standard upper space Poisson kernel for Laplace equation. Define

$$v(x,t) = \mathcal{P}_m * f(x,t) = \beta(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2m-1} f(y)}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $1 \le q \le \infty$, then v belongs to weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ if $q = 1$ and belongs to $L^{\frac{(n+1)q}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ if $q > 1$. Moreover,*

$$|\{X : |\mathcal{P}_m * f(X)| > \lambda\}| \le c(n,m,1)\lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{n}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n+1}{n}}, \quad \forall\, \lambda > 0,$$

and

$$||\mathcal{P}_m * f||_{L^{\frac{(n+1)q}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \le c(n,m,q)||f||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for } q > 1,$$

where $c(n,m,q) > 0$ is constants depending only n, m and q.

Proof. The proof by now is standard. When $m = 1$, see Hang-Wang-Yan [25]. When $q = \infty$, it is easy to show. By the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, it thus suffices to show the $q = 1$ case. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. First, note that for any $t > 0$ there holds

$$|\mathcal{P}_m * f(x,t)| \le \beta(n,m) t^{-n}.$$

In addition, for any number $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\cap\{0<t<a\}} |\mathcal{P}_m * f(x,t)|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ \le&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|\mathrm{d}y \int_0^a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\beta(n,m)t^{2m-1}}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = a.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{(x,t) : |\mathcal{P}_m * f(x,t)| > \lambda\}|\\ =&\left|\{(x,t) : 0 < t < \beta(n,m)^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}}, |\mathcal{P}_m * f(x,t)| > \lambda\}\right|\\ \le&\frac{1}{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\cap\{0<t<\beta(n,m)^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{n}}\}} |\mathcal{P}_m * f|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ \le&\beta(n,m)^{\frac{1}{n}}\lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that f is a smooth function in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q \ge 1$. Then v defined by* (13) *is smooth and satisfies* (12)*.*

Proof. The smoothness of $v(x,t)$ is easy and we omit the details. Note that $\mathcal{P}_m(x-y,t)$ is the Kelvin transform of $\beta(n,m)t^{2m-1}$ with respect to $X_0 = (y,0)$ and $\lambda = 1$. It follows that $\Delta^m_{x,t}\mathcal{P}_m(x-y,t) = \beta(n,m)|X-X_0|^{-(n+2m-1)}\Delta^m_{x,t}t^{2m-1} = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$. Therefore, v satisfies the first equation of (12).

Next, let $\eta \ge 0$ be a cutoff function satisfying $\eta = 1$ in $D_{1/2}$ and $\eta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_2$, and denote $\eta_{x_0}(x) = \eta(x-x_0)$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $v_1 = \mathcal{P}_m * (f\eta_{x_0})$ and $v_2 = \mathcal{P}_m * (f(1-\eta_{x_0}))$, then $v = v_1 + v_2$. Clearly,

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(x_0,0)} v_2(x,t) \to 0.$$

By the change of variables $x - y = tz$, we see that

$$v_1(x,t) = \beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(f\eta_{x_0})(x-tz)}{(|z|^2+1)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Sending $t \to 0$, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$v_1(x,t) \to f(x_0) \quad \text{when } (x,t) \to (x_0,0).$$

Hence, by the arbitrary choice of x_0, we verified the second line of (12).

Finally, for any $0 \le k \le m-2$, note that $\Delta^k t^{2m-1} = (2m-1)\cdots(2m-2k)t^{2m-1-2k}$ with $2m-1-2k \ge 2$. It follows that

$$\lim_{(x,t)\to(x_0,0)} \partial_t \Delta^k v_2(x,t) = 0.$$

Making use of $k \le m-2$ and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we see that as $t \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \Delta^k v_1(x,t)\\
&= \beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial_t \sum_{j=0}^k C(j)\Delta_x^{k-j}\partial_t^{2j}(f\eta_{x_0})(x-tz)}{(|z|^2+1)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&= \beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^k C(j)\Delta_x^{k-j}\sum_{|\alpha|=2j+1} C(\alpha)D_x^\alpha(f\eta_{x_0})(x-tz)z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}}{(|z|^2+1)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\to -\beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^k C(j)\sum_{|\alpha|=2j+1} C(\alpha)\Delta_x^{k-j}D_x^\alpha(f\eta_{x_0})(x)z_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}}{(|z|^2+1)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}z = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(j)$ and $C(\alpha)$ are some binomial constants and we used the oddness of the integrand in the last equality.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Remark 2.3. *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is smooth in an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for instance. From the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that v will satisfy boundary conditions of* (12) *on Ω pointwisely.*

Next lemma shows the convolution with $\mathcal{P}_m$ commutes with $m-$Kelvin transformation.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $f_{x_0,\lambda}(x) := |x|^{2m-1-n} f(x_0 + \frac{\lambda(x-x_0)}{|x-x_0|^2}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. Let $X_0 = (x_0, 0)$. Then $v_{X_0,\lambda} = \mathcal{P}_m * f_{x_0,\lambda}$.*

Proof. We only verify the case $x_0 = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, because the other situations are similar. Since $f_{0,1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{P}_m * f_{0,1}$ is well-defined. By direct computations,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{0,1}(X) &= |X|^{2m-1-n}\mathcal{P}_m * f\left(\frac{x}{|X|^2}, \frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)\\
&= \beta(n,m)|X|^{2m-1-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(t/|X|^2)^{2m-1} f(y)}{(|x/|X|^2 - y|^2 + (t/|X|^2)^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\
&= \beta(n,m)|X|^{-2m+1-n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2m-1} f(y)}{(|x/|X|^2 - y|^2 + (t/|X|^2)^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\
&= \beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2m-1}|y|^{1-2m-n} f(y)}{(t^2 + |y/|y|^2 - x|^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\
&= \beta(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2m-1}|z|^{2m-1-n} f(\frac{z}{|z|^2})}{(t^2 + |z-x|^2)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}z = \mathcal{P}_m * f_{0,1}(X),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the fourth step we used the elementary equality

$$|X|^2\left(\left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^2+\left|\frac{x}{|X|^2}-y\right|^2\right)=|y|^2\left(t^2+\left|\frac{y}{|y|^2}-x\right|^2\right).$$

□

Remark 2.5. *Actually the proof holds whenever $\mathcal{P}_m * f_{x_0,\lambda}$ is well defined, for example $f_{x_0,\lambda} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and bounded at infinity.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $v \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ be a solution of* (12)*. Then for any $X_0 = (x_0, 0)$ and $\lambda > 0$, $v_{X_0,\lambda}$ satisfies* (12) *with f replaced by $f_{x_0,\lambda}$, except the the boundary point X_0.*

Proof. It follows from direct computations. □

2.2 Neumann function for a Neumann problem

Now, we consider

$$\begin{cases}\Delta^m v(x,t)=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\\ \partial_t\Delta^k v(x,0)=0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\\ (-1)^m\partial_t\Delta^{m-1}v(x,0)=f(x) & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where f is a smooth function belonging to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q \geq 1$, and $k = 0, \ldots, m-2$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}_m(x,t)=\gamma(n,m)\frac{1}{(|x|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}},$$

where $\gamma(n,m)=\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{n-2m+1}{2})/\Gamma(m)$. Define

$$v(x,t):=\mathcal{N}_m * f(x,t)=\gamma(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{f(y)}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 2.7. *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $v(x,t)$ belongs to weak$-L^{\frac{n+1}{n-2m+1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$. Moreover,*

$$|\{(x,t):|v(x,t)|>\lambda\}|\leq C(n,m)\lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{n-2m+1}}||f||^{\frac{n+1}{n-2m+1}}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \textit{for every } \lambda>0,$$

where $C(n,m) > 0$ is a constant depending only n and m.

Proof. The lemma was proved by Dou-Zhu [16] and we include a proof below for completeness and convenience of the readers.

After scaling, assume $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)dy = 1$. Split v as

$$\begin{aligned} v(x,t) &= \gamma(n,m)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\cap\{|x-y|\le r\}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\cap\{|x-y|>r\}}\right)\frac{f(y)}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &=: v_1(x,t) + v_2(x,t), \end{aligned}$$

where r will be fixed later. By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||v_1||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} &= \gamma(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\cap\{|x-y|\le r\}}\frac{|f(y)|}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}}\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}X \\ &\le \gamma(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(y)|\,\mathrm{d}y\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\cap B_r}\frac{1}{|X|^{n-2m+1}}\,\mathrm{d}X \le C_1 r^{2m}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|v_2| \le C_2 r^{2m-n-1},$$

where C_1, C_2 are constants depending only n and m. Observing the inequality

$$|\{(x,t) : |v| \ge 2\lambda\}| \le |\{(x,t) : |v_1| \ge \lambda\}| + |\{(x,t) : |v_2| \ge \lambda\}|,$$

one can choose r as $C_2 r^{2m-n-1} = \lambda$, then $|\{(x,t) : |v_2| \ge \lambda\}| = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\{(x,t) : |v| \ge 2\lambda\}| &\le |\{(x,t) : |v_1| \ge \lambda\}| \le C\frac{1}{\lambda}||v_1||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)} \\ &\le C\frac{r^{2m}}{\lambda} = C\lambda^{-\frac{n+1}{n-2m+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By scaling, we complete the proof of the lemma. □

We refer to Dou-Zhu [16] for strong type bounds for the convolution operator involving the Neumann function.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that f is a smooth function belonging to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q \ge 1$. Then v defined by* (15) *is smooth and satisfies* (14)*.*

Proof. The smoothness and the first two lines of (14) are easy to show. For the last boundary condition, observe that

$$\Delta^k |X|^{2m-n-1} = (2m-n-1)\cdots(2m-n+1-2k)(2m-2)\cdots(2m-2k)|X|^{2m-n-1-2k}$$

for any $k \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \Delta^{m-1} v(x,t) =&(2m-n-1)\cdots(1-n)(2m-2)\cdots 2\gamma(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{tf(y)}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\mathrm{d}y \\ =&(-1)^m 2^{2m}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2m+1}{2})}\Gamma(m)\gamma(n,m)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{tf(y)}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\partial_t \Delta^{m-1} v(x,0) = (-1)^m f(x).$$

This verifies the last boundary condition.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose* $f_{x_0,\lambda}(x) := |x|^{2m-1-n} f(x_0 + \frac{\lambda(x-x_0)}{|x-x_0|^2}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for some* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $\lambda > 0$. *Let* $X_0 = (x_0, 0)$. *Then* $v_{X_0,\lambda} = \mathcal{N}_m * (|x|^{-2(2m-1)} f_{x_0,\lambda})$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4, thus we omit the details. Same as the remark 2.5, the proof holds whenever $\mathcal{N}_m * (|x|^{-2(2m-1)} f_{x_0,\lambda})$ is well defined. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $v \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ *be a solution of* (14). *Then for any* $X_0 = (x_0, 0)$ *and* $\lambda > 0$, $v_{X_0,\lambda}$ *satisfies* (14) *with* $f(x)$ *replaced by* $|x|^{-2(2m-1)} f_{x_0,\lambda}(x)$, *except the the boundary point* X_0.

Proof. It follows from direct computations. □

3 Polyharmonic functions with homogeneous boundary data

3.1 Extensions of Liouville theorem

It is well-known that every nonnegative solution of

$$\begin{cases}\Delta u(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\end{cases}$$

has to equal at for some $a \geq 0$. A simple proof of this result is based on the boundary Harnack inequality. In this subsection, we extend this result to polyharmonic functions with homogeneous boundary conditions, for which we don't have a boundary Harnack inequality.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $u \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ *be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases}\Delta^m u(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ u(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\,\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t \Delta^k u(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\,\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, m-2.\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

CLASSIFICATION THEOREM

Suppose that $u^*(X) \in L^1(B_1^+)$, where $u^* := u_{0,1}$ is the $m-$Kelvin transform of u with respect to $X_0 = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$. Then

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + c_0 t^{2m-1}, \tag{17}$$

where $P_{2k}(x)$ are polynomials w. r. t. x of degree $\leq 2m-1-2k$.

In addition if we assume $u^*(X) \geq g(X)$ for some $g \in L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(B_1^+)$, then $c_0 \geq 0$, and deg $P_{2k} \leq 2m-2-2k$. In particular $P_{2(m-1)}$ must be a constant.

Proof. For any $r > 0$, let $v(X) = u^*(rX)$. Then $v(X)$ satisfies (16) pointwisely except the origin. By the standard estimates for solutions of linear elliptic PDEs, we have

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(B^+_{5/4}\setminus B^+_{3/4})} \leq C(m,n)\|v\|_{L^1(B^+_{3/2}\setminus B^+_{1/2})}. \tag{18}$$

See [1] or Theorem 2.20 of [21] precisely. Notice that

$$\|v\|_{L^1(B^+_{3/2}\setminus B^+_{1/2})} = \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\|u^*\|_{L^1(B^+_{3r/2}\setminus B^+_{r/2})} = o(r^{-(n+1)}) \quad \text{as } r \to 0.$$

Together with (18), the above inequality yields

$$|u^*(X)| = o(|X|^{-(n+1)}) \quad \text{as } |X| \to 0.$$

Since $u(X) = |X|^{2m-1-n}u^*\left(X/|X|^2\right)$, we obtain

$$|u(X)| = o(|X|^{2m}) \quad \text{as } |X| \to \infty. \tag{19}$$

For every $R > 0$, by the standard estimates for solutions of linear elliptic PDEs we obtain

$$\|\nabla^{2m}u\|_{L^\infty(B_R^+)} \leq CR^{-2m}\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R}^+)},$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of R. Sending $R \to \infty$ and making use of (19) we have

$$\nabla^{2m}u \equiv 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+.$$

It follows that u is a polynomial of degree at most $2m-1$. Sorting u by the degree of t, one can have

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{2m-2} t^l P_l(x) + c_0 t^{2m-1}$$

where $P_l(x)$ is a polynomial of x with degree $\leq 2m-1-l$. The boundary conditions of u imply $P_l \equiv 0$ when $l \leq 2m-2$ and is odd. Indeed, suppose the contrary and let $P_{l_0} \neq 0$ of the least odd order l_0. Set $k_0 = (l_0-1)/2 \leq m-2$ which is an integer. Then

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + t^{l_0}P_{l_0}(x) + \sum_{l=l_0}^{2m-2} t^l P_l(x).$$

Applying $\partial_t\Delta^{k_0}$ to u, then $\partial_t\Delta^{k_0}(t^{2k}P_{2k}(x))(x,0)=0$ and $\partial_t\Delta^{k_0}(t^lP_l(x))(x,0)=0$ for any $l>l_0$. Since $\partial_t\Delta^{k_0}u(x,0)=0$,

$$0=\partial_t\Delta^{k_0}(t^{l_0}P_{l_0}(x))(x,0)=l_0!P_{l_0}(x).$$

Hence, we proved the claim. It follows that

$$u(x,t)=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}t^{2k}P_{2k}(x)+c_0t^{2m-1}.$$

If $u^*\geq g$ for some g as stated in the theorem. For any polynomial P with $\deg P<2m-1-2k$, we have

$$(t^{2k}P(x))^*=|X|^{2m-1-n}\left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^{2k}P\left(\frac{x}{|X|^2}\right)=O(|X|^{1-n})\text{ as }|X|\to 0 \tag{20}$$

which means $(t^{2k}P(x))^*\in L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(B_1^+)$. Absorbing all these lower order terms of P_{2k} to g and collecting all the leading terms of each P_{2k} to be $\tilde{u}$, we have

$$\tilde{u}^*=\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}|X|^{2m-1-n}\left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^{2k}\tilde{P}_{2k}\left(\frac{x}{|X|^2}\right)+c_0|X|^{2m-1-n}\left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^{2m-1}\geq\tilde{g}$$

where $\tilde{P}_{2k}$ are homogeneous polynomial in x with degree equals to $2m-1-2k$ or $\tilde{P}_{2k}\equiv 0$. By the homogeneity,

$$\tilde{u}^*=|X|^{1-2m-n}t^{2m-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\tilde{P}_{2k}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)+c_0\right).$$

Note that $\tilde{P}_{2k}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of odd degree and thus $\tilde{P}_{2k}(-y)=-\tilde{P}_{2k}(y)$. Therefore if some $\tilde{P}_{2k}$ is not zero, then $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\tilde{P}_{2k}(y)+c_0$ will be negative on some open set $A\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ with measure $|A|=\infty$. This leads to $\tilde{u}^*<0$ on set $A^+=\{(x,t)\in B_1^+|x/t\in A\}$ with $|A^+|>0$. While on this set, $\tilde{u}^*\notin L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$, which will violate the fact $\tilde{u}\geq\tilde{g}$ with $\tilde{g}\in L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(B_1^+)$. Indeed, take a bounded subset E of A with $|E|>0$, notice when $t_0>0$ small enough, we have

$\{(tx,t) : x \in E, 0 < t < t_0\} \subset A^+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int_{A^+} |\tilde{u}|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t &\geq \int_0^{t_0}\int_{tE} |\tilde{u}^*|^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^{t_0}\int_{tE} \left[|X|^{1-2m-n} t^{2m-1} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{P}_{2k}(x/t) + c_0\right|\right]^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} t^{-1}\int_{E} \left[(|y|^2+1)^{\frac{1-2m-n}{2}} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{P}_{2k}(y) + c_0\right|\right]^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}t \\ &> c\int_0^{t_0} t^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \infty \text{ for some } c > 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we have changed variable $x = ty$. Therefore, $\tilde{P}_{2k} \equiv 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $c_0 \geq 0$.

We complete the proof of the proposition. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0 \leq u \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ be a solution of* (16)*. Then*

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + c_0 t^{2m-1}, \tag{21}$$

where $P_{2k}(x)$ are polynomials w. r. t. x of degree $\leq 2m-2-2k$, and $c_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, it suffices to show $u^* \in L^1(B_1^+)$. Note that u^* satisfies (16) except the origin. Define

$$\eta_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2m!}(t-\varepsilon)^{2m} & \text{for } t \geq \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{for } t < \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Since u^* is smooth in on $\partial^+ B_1^+$ and $\eta(t) \in C^{2m-1,1}$, multiplying both sides of the polyharmonic equation of u^* and using Green's identity we have

$$\int_{B_1^+ \cap \{t > \varepsilon\}} u^*(X)\, dX \leq C,$$

where C is independent of ε. Sending $\varepsilon \to 0$ and using $u^* \geq 0$, by Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem we have $u^* \in L^1(B_1^+)$.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

3.2 Extensions of Bôcher theorem

In this subsection, we will give some extensions of the classical Bôcher theorem which says that every nonnegative harmonic function in the punctured unit ball is decomposed to the fundamental solution multiplied by a constant plus a harmonic function cross the origin. Let

$$\Phi(X) = c(m,n)\begin{cases} |X|^{2m-n-1} & \text{if } 2m < n+1, \\ \ln|X| & \text{if } 2m = n+1, \end{cases}$$

be the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)^m$, where $c(m,n)$ is a normalization constant such that $(-\Delta)^m\Phi(X) = \delta_0$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $u \in C^{2m}(B_1 \setminus \{0\})$ be a solution of $(-\Delta)^{2m}u = 0$ in $B_1 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Suppose $u \in L^1(B_1)$, then*

$$u(X) = h(X) + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2m-1} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ is multi-index, c_α are constants, and h is a smooth solution of $(-\Delta)^{2m}h = 0$ in B_1. If in addition assume $u \ge g$ for some g belonging to weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1)$, then $c_\alpha = 0$ for $|\alpha| = 2m-1$.

Proof. The first part of theorem was proved by Futamura-Kishi-Mizuta [20]. For the second part, noticing when $|\alpha| = 2m-1$, $D^\alpha\Phi(X)$ is homogeneous and has negative part comparable to $|X|^{-n}$, which does not belong to weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1)$. So $c_\alpha = 0$ for such α. □

We refer to Futamura-Kishi-Mizuta [20], Ghergu-Moradifam-Taliaferro [22] and references therein for related works on Bôcher's theorem of higher order equations.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $u \in C^{2m}(\bar{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\})$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = 0 & \text{in } B_1^+, \\ \partial_t u = \partial_t \Delta u = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u = 0 & \text{on } D_1 \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Suppose that $u \in L^1(B_1^+)$ and $u \ge g$ for some g belonging to weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1^+)$, then

$$u(X) = h(X) + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2m-2} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X),$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ with α_{n+1} being even, and $h(X)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m h = 0 & \text{in } B_1^+, \\ \partial_t h = \partial_t \Delta h = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} h = 0 & \text{on } D_1. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let $u(x,t) = u(x,-t)$ and $g(x,t) = g(x,-t)$ for $t < 0$. We abuse the notation to denote these two new functions still as u and g, respectively. From the boundary condition and regularity theory for Poisson equation, we have $(-\Delta)^{m-1}u, (-\Delta)^{m-2}u, \dots, u$ are smooth in $B_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, Theorem 3.3 implies the decomposition of u. The boundary condition actually implies we can only have $D^\alpha \Phi$ in the decomposition with α_{n+1} of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1})$ is even, see the proof of the last statement of Proposition 3.1.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Bôcher theorem for positive harmonic functions can be viewed as a stronger version of Liouville theorem. Indeed,

Corollary 3.5. *Let $u \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \{\partial \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}\})$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t u = \partial_t \Delta u = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Suppose that $u \in L^1(B_1^+)$ and $u \geq g$ for some g belonging to weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1^+)$, and $\lim_{|X|\to\infty} u(X) = 0$. Then

$$u(X) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m-2} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X) \quad \forall\, X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ with α_{n+1} being even.

Proof. Applying Corollary 3.4 with B_1^+ replaced by consecutively large half balls, we have

$$u(X) = h(X) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m-2} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X) \quad \forall\, X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,$$

with each α's α_{n+1} even. Since $|\alpha| \leq 2m-2$,

$$\lim_{|X|\to\infty} |h(X)| \leq \lim_{|X|\to\infty} |u(X)| + \lim_{|X|\to\infty} \left| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m-2} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X) \right| = 0.$$

By the (23), extending h to lower half plane one can get a smooth polyharmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which is bounded and converges to 0 as $|X| \to \infty$. By the interior estimates for solutions of linear elliptic PDEs, one can easily obtain that $h \equiv 0$. Therefore, we complete the proof. □

The method of proof of Proposition 3.1 can give a direct proof of Corollary 3.5. Corollary 3.4 is of independent interest and will be useful in study of local analysis of solutions of the nonlinear problem.

4 Isolated singularity for nonlinear boundary data

Now let us go back to the nonlinear boundary problems we want to study. Suppose $0 \le u \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ be a solution of (7) with $1 < p \le \frac{n+(2m-1)}{n-(2m-1)}$. Then, by Lemma 2.10, $u^* = u_{0,1}$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m u^*(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t u^* = \partial_t \Delta u^* = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-2} u^*(x,0) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \\ (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u^*(x,0) = |x|^{-\tau} u^{*p} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where $\tau = [n + (2m-1)] - p[n - (2m-1)] \ge 0$. The goal of this section is to show:

Proposition 4.1. *Let u^* be as above. If either one of the two items holds*

(1) m is odd;

(2) m is even and $u(X) = o(|X|^{2m-1})$ as $|X| \to \infty$,

then

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \tag{26}$$

Let us start from basic properties of u^*.

Lemma 4.2. *Let u^* be a nonnegative solution of* (25)*. Then*

(i) $u^* \in L^1(B_1^+)$,

(ii) $\int_{D_1} |x|^{2m-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p dx < \infty$,

(iii) If $p > 1$, then $\int_{D_1} u^*(x,0)^s dx < \infty$ for some $s > 1$.

Proof. (i) $u^* \in L^1(B_1^+)$ was shown in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

(ii) Let $r = |X|$, and construct a smooth radial function ξ_ε such that $\Delta^m \xi_\varepsilon(r) = \chi_{\{r>\varepsilon\}}(r)$ for given $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\xi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $B_{\varepsilon/2}$. It is easy to show $\xi_\varepsilon \to \frac{1}{C(m,n)} r^{2m}$ in C^0, where $C(m,n) = \Delta^m r^{2m} > 0$. Since ξ_ε is radially symmetric, then $\partial_t \Delta^k \xi_\varepsilon(x,0) = 0$ for any $k \ge 0$. Noticing that $\partial_t \Delta^k u^*$ vanishes for $k = 0, \ldots, m-2$ and using ξ_ε as a test function in Green's identity, we obtain

$$\int_{D_1} \xi_\varepsilon(|x|)|x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \, \mathrm{d}x \le (-1)^m \int_{B_1^+} u^*(X) \chi_{\{r>\varepsilon\}}(|X|) \mathrm{d}X + C.$$

By item (i) and sending $\varepsilon \to 0$, then $|x|^{2m-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \in L^1(D_1)$.

(iii) By the definition of τ, it is easy to check

$$p > \frac{2m-\tau}{n} + 1.$$

Choosing b such that

$$\max\left\{\frac{2m-\tau}{n}+1, 1\right\} < b < p,$$

then from Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{D_1} u^*(x,0)^{\frac{p}{b}} \mathrm{d}x \le \left(\int_{D_1} |x|^{2m-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{b}} \left(\int_{D_1} |x|^{-\frac{2m-\tau}{b-1}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Noticing $(2m-\tau)/(b-1) < n$, it yields $u^*(x,0) \in L^s(D_1)$ for $s = p/b > 1$.

Therefore, the lemma is proved. □

Since $u^*(x,0) \in L^1(D_1)$ and $u^*(x,0) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_1)$, then

$$v^* := \mathcal{P}_m * u^* \tag{27}$$

is well-defined.

Proposition 4.3. *Let v^* be in* (27)*. Then we have $v^* \in L^{\frac{(n+1)}{n}}(B_1^+)$ and*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m v^*(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t v^* = \partial_t \Delta v^* = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-2} v^*(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \\ (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} v^*(x,0) = |x|^{-\tau} v^{*p} - c_0 (-1)^m |x|^{-(2m-1+n)} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where $c_0 \ge 0$ is a constant.

Proof. Decompose $u^*(x,0) = u_1^*(x,0) + u_2^*(x,0)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $u_1^*(x,0) = u^*(x,0)\chi_{D_1}(x)$ and χ_{D_1} is the characteristic function of D_1. Then $v^* = v_1^* + v_2^*$ with v_1^* and v_2^* are given by the corresponding Poisson type convolutions of $u_1^*(x,0)$ and $u_2^*(x,0)$ as in (27), respectively.

Since $u^* \in L^s(D_1)$ for some $s > 1$ by Lemma 4.2, we have $v_1^* \in L^{\frac{(n+1)s}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ by Lemma 2.1. On the other hand, since $u^*(x,0) = O(|x|^{2m-1-n})$ as $x \to \infty$, then $u_2^*(x,0) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $q > \frac{n}{n+1-2m}$. Using Lemma 2.1 again yields $v_2^* \in L^{\bar{q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ for any $\bar{q} > \frac{n+1}{n+1-2m}$. Restricting v_1^* and v_2^* in B_1^+ and notice that

$$\min\left\{\frac{(n+1)s}{n}, \frac{n+1}{n+1-2m}\right\} > \frac{n+1}{n},$$

we proved $v^* \in L^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(B_1^+)$.

By Lemma 2.6, v^* satisfies the first two lines of (28). Let $v = (v^*)_{0,1}$. By Lemma 2.4 and the remark after it, $v(x,0) = u(x,0)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define $w = u - v$, which satisfies (16) in Proposition 3.1. $w^* \geq -v^*$ will satisfy the assumption of Proposition 3.1, therefore we conclude

$$w(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} t^{2k} P_{2k}(x) + c_0 t^{2m-1}, \tag{29}$$

where $c_0 \geq 0$, $P_{2k}(x)$ are polynomials w. r. t. x of degree $\leq 2m-2-2k$. Therefore,

$$\partial_t \Delta^{m-1} v^* = \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} u^* - \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} w^*,$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} w^*(x,0) &= c_0 \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} (|X|^{1-2m-n} t^{2m-1})(x,0) \\ &= c_0 (2m-1)! |x|^{-(2m-1+n)}, \end{aligned}$$

the proposition follows immediately. □

Naively one may wish $c_0 = 0$, then u^* and v^* share the same equations. However, as we said in the introduction, there are special cases, for example when m is even, u^* will be the $m-$Kelvin transformation of $H_a(x,t)$ in (9), but $v^* \equiv a^{1/p}|X|^{2m-1-n}$, so $c_0 \neq 0$. On the other hand, we will prove that under the assumptions in Proposition 4.1, we have $c_0 = 0$. To that end, we need to analyze the symmetrization of the solutions. When applied to radially symmetric functions in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the Laplace operator Δ is expressed as

$$L = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{n}{r}\frac{d}{dr}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $w \in C^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \{\partial \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}\})$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m w(x,t) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t w = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-2} w(x,0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}, \\ (-1)^m \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} w(x,0) = f(x) & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$L^m \bar{w}(r) = (-1)^m \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n} r^{-1} [f]_r, \tag{30}$$

where $\bar{w}(r) = \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} w(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}S_{x,t}$ and $[f]_r = \fint_{\partial D_r} f(x)\,\mathrm{d}\sigma$ and ω_n, ω_{n-1} are the volume constants.

Proof. By the definition of $\bar{w}$, taking derivatives leads to

$$r^n \bar{w}'(r) = \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S = -\frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{B_1^+ \setminus B_r^+} \Delta w \, \mathrm{d}X + \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{\partial^+ B_1^+} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S,$$

where $r \in (0,1)$, ν is the outer unit normal of the boundary and we used $\partial_t w(x,0) = 0$. It follows that

$$L\bar{w} = \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta w \, \mathrm{d}S.$$

Using $\partial_t \Delta^k w(x,0) = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m-2$ and repeating this process, we have

$$L^{m-1}\bar{w} = \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} w \, \mathrm{d}S. \tag{31}$$

By Green's identity, we have for any $0 < r < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial^+ B_1^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S - \int_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S - \int_{D_1 \setminus D_r} \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} w \, \mathrm{d}x \\ =&\int_{B_1^+ \setminus B_r^+} \Delta^m w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivative in r, we have

$$\frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S = (-1)^m \omega_{n-1} r^{n-1} [f]_r. \tag{32}$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dr} \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} w \, \mathrm{d}S = \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S, \tag{33}$$

then (31) implies

$$\begin{aligned} L^m \bar{w}(r) =& \frac{1}{r^n} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^n \frac{d}{dr} \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} w \, \mathrm{d}S \right) = \frac{1}{r^n} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^n \fint_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S \right) \\ =& \frac{1}{w_n r^n} \frac{d}{dr} \int_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} w}{\partial \nu} \, \mathrm{d}S = (-1)^m \frac{w_{n-1}}{w_n} r^{-1} [f]_r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Notice that u^* satisfies (25) and v^* satisfies (28). It follows from the above lemma that:

Corollary 4.5.

$$L^m \bar{u^*}(r) = (-1)^m \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n} r^{-\tau-1} [u^{*p}]_r, \tag{34}$$

$$L^m \bar{v^*}(r) = (-1)^m \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n} \{ r^{-\tau-1} [v^{*p}]_r - c_0 (-1)^m r^{-n-2m} \}. \tag{35}$$

Lemma 4.6. *Under the assumptions in Proposition 4.1, we have* $c_0 = 0$.

Proof. By the ODE of $\bar{u^*}$, one can integrate $2m$ times to get

$$\bar{u^*}(r) = a\Phi(r) + \sum_{k=2}^{m} \left\{ b_k r^{2(m-k)-n+1} + c_k r^{2(m-k)} \right\} + \frac{(-1)^m \omega_{n-1}}{\omega_n} F(r), \tag{36}$$

where a, b_k, c_k are constants depending only on C^{2m} norm of u^* near $\partial^+ B_1^+$, and

$$F(r) = \int_r^1 r_{2m-1}^{-n} \int_{r_{2m-1}}^1 r_{2m-2}^n \int \cdots \int_{r_4}^1 r_3^n \int_{r_3}^1 r_2^{-n} \int_{r_2}^1 r_1^n r_1^{-\tau-1} [u^*(x,0)^p]_{r_1} \, \mathrm{d}r_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}r_{2m-1} \mathrm{d}r.$$

If m is odd, (36) gives $\bar{u^*}(r) \le C b_m r^{-n+1}$ for small r. Similarly, $\bar{v^*}(r) \le C b_m r^{-n+1}$ for small r. Since u^* and v^* are positive, u^*, v^* and $w^* := u^* - v^*$ must belong to weak- $L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1^+)$. By (29), $c_0 |X|^{-(n+2m-1)} t^{2m-1}$ has to belong weak-$L^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}(B_1^+)$, which forces $c_0 = 0$.

On the other hand, if m is even and $u(X) = o(|X|^{2m-1})$, by (29) and the fact that $w = u - v \le u$ we immediately have $c_0 = 0$.

In conclusion, we complete the proof. □

Next two lemmas can boost the regularity of u^* by iteration.

Lemma 4.7. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.1. If* $u^*(x,0) \in L^s(D_1)$ *for some* $s > 1$, *then*

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

for any $q > 2m - n - 1 + \frac{n}{s}$.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 4.3, $v^* \in L^{\tilde{s}}(B_1^+)$, where

$$\tilde{s} = \min \left\{ \frac{(n+1)s}{n}, \frac{n+1}{n-2m+1} \right\}.$$

Fix any $q > 2m - n - 1 + \frac{n}{s}$. Choose $0 \le \eta(r) \in C^\infty(0,\infty)$ such that $\eta(r) = 0$ when $r < 1/2$ and $\eta(r) = 1$ when $r > 1$ and define

$$\phi_\varepsilon(X) = \eta\left(\frac{|X|}{\varepsilon}\right) |X|^q.$$

Multiplying v^* by ϕ_ε and using Green's identity over B_1^+, we have

$$\int_{B_1} v^* \Delta^m \phi_\varepsilon \mathrm{d}X = \int_{D_1} \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right) |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \, \mathrm{d}x + C.$$

Sending $\varepsilon \to 0$, the first term of RHS will converge to the integral we want to bound, while the LHS will be uniformly bounded. Indeed, by Hölder's inequality and the radial symmetry of ϕ_ε,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_1^+} |v^*\Delta^m \phi_\varepsilon| \mathrm{d}X &\le C\sum_{k=0}^{2m} \int_{B_1^+} v^* |X|^{q-k} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2m-k}}{\mathrm{d}r^{2m-k}} \eta\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \mathrm{d}X \\ &\le C\int_{B_1^+} v^*|X|^{q-2m}\mathrm{d}X + C\varepsilon^{q-2m}\int_{B_\varepsilon^+} v^*\mathrm{d}X \\ &\le C(n,q)\|v^*\|_{L^{\tilde{s}}(B_1^+)} + C(n,q)\|v^*\|_{L^{\tilde{s}}(B_1^+)}\varepsilon^{q-2m+(n+1)(1-1/\tilde{s})} \\ &\le C,\end{aligned}$$

where we used the assumption on q to give $q-2m+(n+1)(1-1/\tilde{s}) > n/s-(n+1)/\tilde{s} \ge 0$.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 4.8. *Assume the assumptions in Proposition 4.1. Then for any $1 < p \le \frac{n+2m-1}{n-2m+1}$ we have*

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad u^*(x,0) \in L^p(D_1)$$

where $q > 2m-n-1+\frac{n}{p}$. In particular, if $p > \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$, q can achieve 0 thus (26) *holds.*

Proof. Let us call $u^*(x,0)$ has $(q,s)-$property if

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{q'-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty \quad \forall\, q' > q \text{ and } u^*(x,0) \in L^{s'}(D_1) \quad \forall\, s' < s.$$

From Lemma 4.2 item (ii), $u^*(x,0)$ has $(q_0,s_0)-$property with $q_0 = 2m$, $s_0 = \frac{np}{n+(2m-\tau)^+} = \frac{np}{n+(q_0-\tau)^+} > 1$, where $a^+ = \max\{a,0\}$ for any constant a. From Lemma 4.7, we have

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty \quad \forall\, q > q_1 = 2m-n-1+\frac{n}{s_0}.$$

From this, one can repeat the proof of Lemma 4.2 item (ii) to see

$$u^*(x,0) \in L^s(D_1) \quad \forall\, s < s_1 = \frac{np}{n+(q_1-\tau)^+}.$$

Therefore $u^*(x,0)$ has $(q_1,s_1)-$property. Moreover, it is easy to see $q_1 < q_0$ and $s_1 > s_0$. By iterating all the above steps, we have $u^*(x,0)$ has $(q_k,s_k)-$property,

$$q_k = 2m-n-1+\frac{n}{s_{k-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad s_k = \frac{np}{n+(q_k-\tau)^+}. \tag{37}$$

Moreover $q_0 > q_1 \ge \cdots \ge q_k$ and $s_0 < s_1 \le \cdots \le s_k$.

Claim: There exist some k finite such that $q_k \le \tau$ and $s_k = p$.

Suppose not, then we will have an infinite many $q_k > \tau$ which are non-increasing. Suppose $\lim_{k\to\infty} q_k = a \ge \tau$, consequently (37) implies

$$a = 2m - n - 1 + \frac{a - \tau + n}{p} = \frac{a}{p} + \frac{1 - 2m}{p} < a,$$

which is a contradiction. The claim is proved.

Thus after some finite steps, we will have $s_k = p$ and $q_k = 2m - n - 1 + \frac{n}{p} = \frac{\tau - 2m + 1}{p} < \tau$ for some k finite. Namely, $u^*(x, 0) \in L^p(D_1)$ and

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{q_k - \tau} u^*(x, 0)^p \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

In particular if $p > \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$, then $q_k = 2m - n - 1 + \frac{n}{p} < 0$ and

$$\int_{D_1} |x|^{-\tau} u^*(x, 0)^p \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty.$$

We complete the proof. □

In order to prove Proposition 4.1 in the remaining range $1 < p \le \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$, we need to investigate the singularity of u^* near origin more precisely. The following three lemmas are devoted to that. Let us build a bridge between the boundary integral and inner integral of v^*.

Lemma 4.9. *Let v^* be defined by* (27) *and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$. Then for any $r_0 > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on m, n, ε, r_0, $\|u^*(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_{2r_0})}$ and $\|u^*(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(D_{r_0})}$, such that*

$$\int_r^{2r} \rho^{-\varepsilon} \bar{v^*}(\rho) \, \mathrm{d}\rho \le C r^{1-n-\varepsilon} \int_{D_{r/2}} u^*(y, 0) \, \mathrm{d}y + C \int_{r/2}^{r_0} \rho^{-\varepsilon} [u^*]_\rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho + C r^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{38}$$

for any $r \in (0, r_0/4)$.

Proof. For any $r < r_0/4$, suppose $\rho \in [r, r_0]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} v^*(x, t) \, \mathrm{d}S = &\fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} \int_{0<|y|<r/2} \mathcal{P}_m(x - y, t) u^*(y, 0) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} \int_{r/2<|y|<2r_0} \mathcal{P}_m(x - y, t) u^*(y, 0) \, \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} \int_{2r_0<|y|} \mathcal{P}_m(x - y, t) u^*(y, 0) \, \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}S \\ :=&I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computations,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{0<|y|<r/2} u^*(y,0)\mathrm{d}y \fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} \mathcal{P}_m(x-y,t)\,\mathrm{d}S \\
&\le C\rho^{-n}\int_{D_{r/2}} u^*(y,0)\,\mathrm{d}y \\
I_2 &\le \int_{r/2<|y|<2r_0} u^*(y,0) \fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} \frac{1}{|X-Y|^n}\,\mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}y \\
I_3 &\le C.
\end{aligned}$$

where $X=(x,t)$, $Y=(y,0)$, and $C>0$ depends only on m, n, r_0, $\|u^*(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus D_{2r_0})}$ and $\|u^*(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1(D_{r_0})}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+} v^*(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}S \\
\le& C\rho^{-n}\int_{D_{r/2}} u^*(y,0)\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{r/2<|y|<2r_0} u^*(y,0)\fint_{\partial^+ B_\rho^+}\frac{1}{|X-Y|^n}\,\mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}y + C
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the above inequality by $\rho^{-\varepsilon}$ and integrating from r to $2r$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_r^{2r}\rho^{-\varepsilon}\bar{v^*}(\rho)\,\mathrm{d}\rho \\
\le& Cr^{1-n-\varepsilon}\int_{D_{r/2}} u^*(y,0)\,\mathrm{d}y + C\int_{r/2<|y|<2r_0} u^*(y,0)|y|^{1-n-\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{d}y + Cr^{1-\varepsilon} \\
=& Cr^{1-n-\varepsilon}\int_{D_{r/2}} u^*(y,0)\,\mathrm{d}y + C\int_{r/2}^{2r_0}\rho^{-\varepsilon}[u^*]_\rho\,\mathrm{d}\rho + Cr^{1-\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}\frac{1}{|X-Y|^n}|X|^{-n-\varepsilon}\,\mathrm{d}X \le C(n,\varepsilon)|Y|^{1-n-\varepsilon}$$

with taking $Y=(y,0)$. □

Lemma 4.10. *Assume the assumptions of Proposition 4.1, then*

$$\int_{D_r} u^*(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \le C(\hat{p})r^{\hat{p}}.$$

where $\hat{p} > 2m-1+(n-n/p)/p$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.8 we have

$$\int_{D_r} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x = C(q) < \infty, \tag{39}$$

where $q > q' = 2m - n - 1 + \frac{n}{p}$. From Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{D_r} u^*(x,0)\mathrm{d}x \le \left(\int_{D_r} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{D_r} |x|^{-\frac{q-\tau}{p-1}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{40}$$

By the definition of τ, one can verify

$$(n - \frac{q-\tau}{p-1})(1-\frac{1}{p}) < (n - \frac{q'-\tau}{p-1})(1-\frac{1}{p}) = 2m - 1 + \frac{n - n/p}{p}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\int_{D_r} |x|^{-\frac{q-\tau}{p-1}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \le C(q) r^{(n-\frac{q-\tau}{p-1})(1-1/p)}. \tag{41}$$

Combining (39), (40) and (41) together, the lemma follows immediately. □

Lemma 4.11. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.1 and $1 < p \le \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$. It is **impossible** to find small constants $r_0 > 0$ and $a_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\bar{v^*}(r) \ge a_0 r^{2m-1-n} \quad \forall\, r \in (0, r_0). \tag{42}$$

Proof. Suppose the contrary that there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $a_0 > 0$ such that (42) holds. Clearly, we can take r_0 being sufficiently small. By Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10, if r_0 is sufficiently small, we have for $r \in (0, r_0)$

$$r^{2m-n-\varepsilon} \le C(\varepsilon) r^{2m-n+(n-n/p)/p-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon} + C\int_{r/2}^{r_0} \rho^{-\varepsilon} [u^*]_\rho \mathrm{d}\,\rho + C r^{1-\varepsilon}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Taking ε sufficiently small and fix it, it follows that for r_0 sufficiently small and $r \in (0, r_0)$ there holds

$$\int_r^{r_0} \rho^{-\varepsilon} [u^*]_\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho \ge \frac{1}{C} r^{2m-n-\varepsilon}. \tag{43}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_r^{r_0} \rho^{-\varepsilon}[u^*]_\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho &\le C\left(\int_r^{r_0} \rho^{-\varepsilon p}[(u^*)^p]_\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho\right)^{1/p} \\ &\le C\left(\int_r^{r_0} \rho^{q-\tau+n-1}[(u^*)^p]_\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho\right)^{1/p} r^{-\frac{(q-\tau+n-1+\varepsilon p)^+}{p}} \\ &= C\left(\int_{D_{r_0}} |x|^{q-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} r^{-\frac{(q-\tau+n-1+\varepsilon p)^+}{p}} \\ &\le C r^{-\frac{(q-\tau+n-1+\varepsilon p)^+}{p}},\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.8 in the last inequality. Together with (43), the above inequality yields

$$r^{-\frac{(q-\tau+n-1+\varepsilon p)^+}{p}} \ge \frac{1}{C} r^{2m-n-\varepsilon} \quad \forall\, r \in (0, r_0). \tag{44}$$

Since $1 < p \le \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$, we have

$$q - \tau + n - 1 = \frac{n}{p} + p(n-2m+1) - n - 1 \le n - 2m,$$

and thus

$$-\frac{(q-\tau+n-1+\varepsilon p)^+}{p} > 2m - n - \varepsilon,$$

which makes (44) impossible.

Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Lemma 4.12 (Dichotomy lemma)**.** *Suppose $\xi(r) \in C^{2m}(0,\infty)$, if there exists $c_1, \tilde{c}_1, r_1, \tilde{r}_1 > 0$*

$$L^{m-1}\xi(r) \ge c_1 r^{1-n} \text{ for any } r \in (0, r_1) \quad \text{or} \quad L^{m-1}\xi(r) \le -\tilde{c}_1 r^{1-n} \text{ for any } r \in (0, \tilde{r}_1)$$

then there exists $c_m, \tilde{c}_m, r_m, \tilde{r}_m > 0$ such that either

$$\xi(r) \ge c_m r^{2m-1-n} \text{ for any } r \in (0, r_m) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi(r) \le -\tilde{c}_m r^{2m-1-n} \text{ for any } r \in (0, \tilde{r}_m).$$

Proof. We will prove it by induction. Define $\xi_k = L^k \xi$, for $k = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1$.

(i) Suppose $\xi_{m-1}(r) = r^{-n}(r^n \xi'_{m-2})' \le -\tilde{c}_1 r^{1-n}$, which implies $r^n \xi'_{m-2}$ is decreasing. There are two cases:

Case 1: $\liminf_{r\to 0} r^n \xi'_{m-2} \le 0$. Then we have

$$r^n \xi'_{m-2}(r) \le -\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2} r^2, \quad 0 < r < \tilde{r}_1$$

which yields

$$\xi_{m-2}(\tilde{r}_1) - \xi_{m-2}(r) = \int_r^{\tilde{r}_1} \xi'_{m-2}\,\mathrm{d}\rho \le -\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2}\int_r^{\tilde{r}_1} \rho^{2-n}\,\mathrm{d}\rho = \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2(n-3)}\rho^{3-n}\Big|_r^{\tilde{r}_1}. \tag{45}$$

Therefore, there exist $c_2, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\xi_{m-2}(r) \ge c_2 r^{3-n}, \quad \text{for } 0 < r < r_2 < \tilde{r}_1. \tag{46}$$

Case 2: There exists $\hat{r} > 0$ and $\hat{c} > 0$ such that

$$r^n \xi'_{m-2}(r) \ge \hat{c}, \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \hat{r} < \tilde{r}_1.$$

Arguing as (45), there exist $\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{r}_2 > 0$ such that

$$\xi_{m-2}(r) \le -\tilde{c}_2 r^{1-n} \le -\tilde{c}_2 r^{3-n}, \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \tilde{r}_2 < \hat{r}. \tag{47}$$

(ii) Suppose $\xi_{m-1} \ge c_1 r^{1-n}$ happens, which implies $r^n \xi'_{m-2}$ is increasing as r goes large. There are two cases:

Case 1: $\liminf_{r\to 0} r^n \xi'_{m-2} \ge 0$, then we have

$$r^n \xi'_{m-2}(r) \ge \frac{c_1}{2} r^2, \quad 0 < r < r_1$$

which yields

$$\xi_{m-2}(r_1) - \xi_{m-2}(r) = \int_r^{r_1} \xi'_{m-2}(\rho)\,\mathrm{d}\rho \ge \frac{c_1}{2}\int_r^{r_1} \rho^{2-n}\,\mathrm{d}\rho.$$

Therefore, there exist $\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{r}_2 > 0$,

$$\xi_{m-2}(r) \le -\tilde{c}_2 r^{3-n} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \tilde{r}_2 < \hat{r}. \tag{48}$$

Case 2: There exist $\hat{c}, \hat{r} > 0$ such that

$$r^n \xi'_{m-2}(r) \le -\hat{c} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < \hat{r} < r_1.$$

Arguing as before there exist $c_2, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\xi_{m-2} \ge c_2 r^{1-n} \ge c_2 r^{3-n} \quad \text{for } 0 < r < r_2 < \hat{r}.$$

For both (i) and (ii), we reached the same conclusion

$$\xi_{m-2} \ge c_2 r^{3-n} \text{ for } r \in (0, r_2) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi_{m-2} \le -\tilde{c}_2 r^{3-n} \text{ for } r \in (0, \tilde{r}_2).$$

Repeating this procedure, we obtain

$$\xi_k \ge c_{m-k} r^{2(m-k)-1-n} \text{ for } r \in (0, r_k) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi_k \le -\tilde{c}_{m-k} r^{2(m-k)-1-n} \text{ for } r \in (0, \tilde{r}_k),$$

when $0 \le k \le m-1$. Taking $k = 0$, we complete the proof the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Since $p \geq \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$ was proved in Lemma 4.8, now we assume $1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2m+1}$. Suppose contrary that (26) is not true, then it necessarily has

$$\int_{D_1\setminus D_r} |x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{D_1\setminus D_r} |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x \to \infty \quad \text{as } r \to 0. \tag{49}$$

Make use of the equation of v^* and Green's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial^+ B_1^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} v^*}{\partial \nu} \,\mathrm{d}S - \int_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} v^*}{\partial \nu} \,\mathrm{d}S &= \int_{D_1\setminus D_r} \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} v^*(x,0) \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{D_1\setminus D_r} (-1)^m |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

If m is odd, by (49) there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < r_0$,

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} v^*}{\partial \nu} \,\mathrm{d}S \geq \frac{r^{-n}}{2} \int_{D_{1/2}\setminus D_r} |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows that

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_{r_0}^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S - ⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_r^{r_0} \lambda^{-n} \int_{D_{1/2}\setminus D_\lambda} |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

which together with (49) yield

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S \leq -r^{1-n} \tag{50}$$

for all $0 < r < r_1 < r_0$, where r_1 is some fixed constant. Since (31) is also true for v^*, then we have $L^{m-1}\bar{v^*}(r) \leq -r^{1-n}$.

If m is even, by (49) there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < r < r_0$,

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \frac{\partial \Delta^{m-1} v^*}{\partial \nu} \,\mathrm{d}S \leq -\frac{r^{-n}}{2} \int_{D_{1/2}\setminus D_r} |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows that

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_{r_0}^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S - ⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_r^{r_0} \lambda^{-n} \int_{D_{1/2}\setminus D_\lambda} |x|^{-\tau} v^*(x,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\lambda,$$

which together with (49) yield

$$⨍_{\partial^+ B_r^+} \Delta^{m-1} v^* \,\mathrm{d}S \geq r^{-n+1} \tag{51}$$

for all $0 < r < r_1 < r_0$, where r_1 is some fixed constant. For the same reason above, we have $L^{m-1}\bar{v^*}(r) \geq r^{1-n}$.

For each case, from Lemma 4.12 we obtain

$$\bar{v^*}(r) \geq c_2 r^{2m-1-n} \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{v^*}(r) \leq -c_2 r^{2m-1-n} \tag{52}$$

provided r is sufficiently small. The later case can not happen because of the positivity of v^*. The former case can not happen either because of Lemma 4.11.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 4.1.

□

5 Proof of main theorem

Proposition 5.1. *Under the assumptions in Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$u^*(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |X|^{2m-n-1} \left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^{2k} P_{2k}\left(\frac{x}{|X|^2}\right) + \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|y|^{-\tau} u^*(y,0)^p}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y$$

where P_{2k} is a polynomial of x with degree $\leq 2m-2-2k$.

Proof. Define

$$V(x,t) := \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|y|^{-\tau} u^*(y,0)^p}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{53}$$

In view of (26) and $|y|^{-\tau}u^*(y,0)^p = O(|y|^{-(n+2m-1)})$ as $y \to \infty$, V is well defined. Set $W := u^* - V$. By Lemma 2.8, W satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m W = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \\ \partial_t W = \partial_t \Delta W = \cdots = \partial_t \Delta^{m-1} W = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \backslash \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Since $u^* \geq 0$, then $W \geq -V$. By Lemma 2.7 we obtain V is in weak$-L^{\frac{n+1}{n-2m+1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$. It follows from Corollary 3.5 that

$$W(X) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m-2} c_\alpha D^\alpha \Phi(X), \tag{54}$$

where c_α are constants and the $(n+1)$-th component of each α is even. By the definition of $\Phi(X)$ and $2m < n+1$, $D^\alpha\Phi$ can be rewritten as

$$D^\alpha \Phi(X) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_\beta X^\beta |X|^{2m-n-1-2|\beta|} = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_\beta \left(\frac{X}{|X|^2}\right)^\beta |X|^{2m-n-1}.$$

where $\beta \le \alpha$ means $\beta_i \le \alpha_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Grouping and reordering the terms according to the degree of t in (54) yield

$$W = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |X|^{2m-n-1} \left(\frac{t}{|X|^2}\right)^{2k} P_{2k}\left(\frac{x}{|X|^2}\right). \tag{55}$$

where P_{2k} is a polynomial on x with degree $\le 2m-2-2k$. Then the proposition follows from:

Claim: $P_0(x) \equiv 0$.

Let $l_0 = \deg P_0 \ge 0$. Collect all the terms of degree l_0 in P_0 to be a homogeneous polynomial $\tilde{P}_0$.

If there is a nonempty open cone $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with 0 as the vertex such that $\tilde{P}_0(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) > c > 0$ on $\mathcal{S} \cap D_{r_0}$ for some constant c, then we can find $r_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$|P_0(x/|x|^2) - \tilde{P}_0(x/|x|^2)| < \frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_0(x/|x|^2) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S} \cap D_{r_0}. \tag{56}$$

Therefore

$$u^*(x,0) = W(x,0) + V(x,0) \ge W(x,0) \ge \frac{1}{2}|x|^{2m-n-1}\tilde{P}_0\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S} \cap D_{r_0}.$$

It leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_1} |y|^{-\tau} u^*(y,0)^p \,\mathrm{d}y \ge& \int_{\mathcal{S}\cap D_{r_0}} |y|^{-\tau+p(2m-n-1)} \tilde{P}_0\left(\frac{y}{|y|^2}\right)^p \mathrm{d}y \\ \ge& c^p \int_{\mathcal{S}\cap D_{r_0}} |y|^{-n-2m+1} = \infty\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to Proposition 4.1. By the homogeneity of $\tilde{P}_0$, we conclude $\tilde{P}_0(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) \le 0$.

Suppose that $P_0(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) \le 0$ but not identical to 0. Without loss of generality, one may assume $\inf_{|x|=1} \tilde{P}_0(x) = -1$ and denote cone $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \tilde{P}_0(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) < -\frac{1}{2}|x|^{-l_0}\}$. For the same fake, we can find $r_0 > 0$ small enough such that

$$|P_0(x/|x|^2) - \tilde{P}_0(x/|x|^2)| < \frac{1}{2}\tilde{P}_0(x/|x|^2) \quad \text{in } E \cap D_{r_0}. \tag{57}$$

Moreover, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\{D_r \cap E\}| \ge \varepsilon_0 r^n \quad \forall\, 0 < r < 1.$$

For some $\lambda > 0$ to be chosen later, let $\rho = (4\lambda)^{-1/(n+l_0+1-2m)}$. On $D_\rho \cap E$, there holds

$$|x|^{2m-n-1} P_0\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}|x|^{2m-n-1}\tilde{P}_0\left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \le -\frac{1}{4}|x|^{2m-n-1-l_0} < -\lambda.$$

Therefore by noticing $W(x,0) = |x|^{2m-n-1}P_0(x/|x|^2)$, we have

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : W(x,0) < -\lambda\}| \geq |\{D_\rho \cap E\}| \geq \varepsilon_0(4\lambda)^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1+l_0}}. \tag{58}$$

Decompose $V(x,0)$ as

$$V(x,0) = \int_{|y|\leq\delta} \frac{|y|^{-\tau}u^*(y,0)^p}{|x-y|^{n-2m+1}}\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{|y|>\delta} \frac{|y|^{-\tau}u^*(y,0)^p}{|x-y|^{n-2m+1}}\,\mathrm{d}y := V_1(x) + V_2(x),$$

where $\delta > 0$ to be fixed. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\int_{D_\delta} |y|^{-\tau}u^*(y,0)^p < \varepsilon$. From the weak type estimate of Riesz potential,

$$\left|\{x : V_1(x,0) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}\right| \leq C(m,n)(\varepsilon\lambda^{-1})^{\frac{n}{n-2m+1}}, \tag{59}$$

where $C(m,n) > 0$ depends only on m and n. Since $|y|^{-\tau}u^*(y,0)^p$ is smooth and bounded outside D_δ, V_2 is bounded. It follows that for $\lambda \geq 100\|V_2\|_{L^\infty} + 1$,

$$\left|\{x : V_2(x,0) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}\right| \leq C(\varepsilon\lambda^{-1})^{\frac{n}{n-2m+1}}, \tag{60}$$

where C is independent of ε. Combining (59) and (60), we can choose ε even small such that

$$\left|\{x : V(x,0) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}\right| \leq \varepsilon_0 10^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1+l_0}}\lambda^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1}} \tag{61}$$

for all $\lambda > 100\|V_2\|_{L^\infty} + 1$. Note that

$$\{x : W(x,0) < -\lambda, |V(x,0)| < \lambda/2\} \subset \{x : u^*(x,0) < 0\} = \emptyset.$$

It follows from (58) and (61) that for sufficiently large λ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= |\{x : W(x,0) < -\lambda, |V(x,0)| \leq \lambda/2\}| \\ &\geq |\{x : W(x,0) < -\lambda\}| - |\{x : |V(x,0)| > \lambda/2\}| \\ &\geq \varepsilon_0(4\lambda)^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1+l_0}} - \varepsilon_0 10^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1+l_0}}\lambda^{-\frac{n}{n-2m+1}} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain a contradiction again. Hence, $\tilde{P}_0(\frac{x}{|x|^2}) = 0$ and thus the claim is proved.

Therefore, we complete the proof of Proposition 5.1.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let V be defined in (53). By Proposition 5.1, $V(x,0) = u^*(x,0)$ and $V^*(x,0) := V_{0,1}(x,0)$ is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It follows from (53) that

$$V(x,t) = \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|y|^{-\tau} V(y,0)^p}{(t^2 + |x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}y,$$

from lemma 2.9, it is equivalent to

$$V^*(x,t) = \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{V^*(y,0)^p}{(t^2 + |x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}y. \tag{62}$$

on the condition that the right hand side integral converges. This is justified through

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_1} \frac{V^*(y,0)^p}{(t^2 + |x-y|^2)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}}} \, \mathrm{d}y &\le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_1} u(y,0)^p |y|^{-(n-2m+1)} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= C \int_{D_1} |x|^{-\tau} u^*(x,0)^p \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Sending $t \to 0$ in (62), we see that

$$V^*(x,0) = \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{V^*(y,0)^p}{|x-y|^{n-2m+1}} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Since $V^*(x,0)$ is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n$, it follows from Chen-Li-Ou [13] and Li [31] that

$$V^*(x,0) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < \frac{n+2m-1}{n-2m+1},$$

and

$$V^*(x,0) = c_0(n,m) \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2m+1}{2}} \qquad \text{for some } \lambda \ge 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $c_0(n,m) > 0$ is a constant depending only on n, m, if $p = \frac{n+2m-1}{n-2m+1}$. One may also apply the moving planes or spheres method to (62) directly to prove the classification result; see Dou-Zhu [16]. By Proposition 5.1, Theorem 1.1 follows immediately.

□

6 An application to conformal geometry

Given Theorem 1.1, we construct metrics which is singular on single boundary point of the unit ball below. Define the map $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \to B_1$ by

$$F(x,t) = \left(\frac{2x}{|x|^2 + (t+1)^2}, \frac{|X|^2 - 1}{|x|^2 + (t+1)^2} \right).$$

Observe that $F(x,0) \to \mathbb{S}^n$,

$$F(x,0) = \left(\frac{2x}{|x|^2+1}, \frac{|x|^2-1}{|x|^2+1} \right)$$

is the inverse of the stereographic projection. Let

$$v(F(X)) = |J_F|^{-\frac{n-2m+1}{2}} u(X)$$

wherer $|J_F|$ is the Jacobian determinant of F.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume the assumptions in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \cup \partial\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and $p = \frac{n+2m-1}{n-(2m-1)}$. Let v be defined as above and $g = v^{\frac{4}{n-(2m-1)}} \mathrm{d}X^2$ in B_1 be a conformal metric of the flat metric. Then the $2m$-th order Q-curvature of g in B_1 is zero and the boundary $(2m-1)$-th order Q-curvature is constant on $\partial B_1 \setminus \{(0,1)\}$.*

If the polynomial part of in the conclusion of the Theorem 1.1 is nontrivial, then v blows up near the boundary point $(0,1)$.

Proof. By the conformal invariance, it is easy to check that $\Delta^m v = 0$, see Li-Mastrolia-Monticelli [32]. It follows that the $2m$-th order Q-curvature of g in B_1 is zero.

By the proof of Proposition 5.1 we see that

$$u(x,0) = \gamma(n,m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(y,0)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{n-(2m-1)}}}{|x-y|^{n-(2m-1)}} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

It follows that

$$v(X) = \gamma(n,m) \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{v(Y,0)^{\frac{n+2m-1}{n-(2m-1)}}}{|X-Y|^{n-(2m-1)}} \, \mathrm{d}S_Y$$

and thus the $(2m-1)$-th order Q-curvature is a constant, see Jin-Li-Xiong [27] for more details.

If the polynomial part of in the conclusion of the Theorem 1.1 is nontrivial, by the definition of v it is easy to see v blows up near the boundary point $(0,1)$. □

Remark 6.2. *Note that the scalar curvature metric g in Proposition 6.1 could be negative.*

If $m = 2$, we have explicit equations of v, see Chang-Qing [10], Branson-Gover [3] and Case [7]:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 v = 0 & \text{in } B_1(0,1), \\ \mathbf{B}_1^3 v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1(0,1) \setminus \{(0,1)\}, \\ \mathbf{B}_3^3 v = v^{\frac{n+3}{n-3}} & \text{on } \partial B_1(0,1) \setminus \{(0,1)\}, \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}_1^3 v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \frac{n-3}{2} v,$$

$$\mathbf{B}_3^3 v = -\frac{\partial \Delta v}{\partial \nu} - \frac{n-3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \nu^2} - \frac{3n-5}{2}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^n} v + \frac{3n^2-7n+6}{4}\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} + \frac{n^2-n+2}{4}\frac{n-3}{2} v.$$

Therefore, the metric g has flat 4-th order Q-curvature, flat mean curvature and constant 3-th order Q-curvature on the boundary. By Theorem 1.1, solutions of (63) satisfying

$$v(F(X)) = o(|X|^n)$$

are classified. If $m \geq 3$, the analogues of (63) can be found in Branson-Gover [3] but are more complicated. Similarly, Theorem 1.1 can be applied to them.

References

[1] Agmon, S.; Douglis, A.; Nirenberg, L.: *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **12** (1959), 623–727.

[2] Beckner, W.: *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality.* Ann. Math. **138**, (1993), 213–242.

[3] Branson, T.; Gover, A. R.: *Conformally invariant non-local operators.* Pacific J. Math. **201** (2001), 19–60.

[4] Caffarelli, L., Gidas, B., Spruck, J.: *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), 271–297.

[5] Caffarelli, L.; Jin, T.; Sire, Y.; Xiong, J.: *Local analysis of solutions of fractional semi-linear elliptic equations with isolated singularities.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **213** (2014), no. 1, 245–268.

[6] Caffarelli, L.A., Silvestre, L.: *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian.* Comm. Partial. Diff. Equ., **32** (2007), 1245–1260.

[7] Case, J.: *Boundary operators associated to the Paneitz operator.* Preprint. arXiv.1509.08347.

[8] Case, J.; Chang, S.-Y.: On fractional GJMS operators. To appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math.

[9] Chang, S.-Y.; González, M.: *Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry.* Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 1410–1432.

[10] Chang, S.-Y.; Qing, J.: *The zeta functional determinants on manifolds with boundary. I. The formula.* J. Funct. Anal. **147** (1997), no. 2, 327–362.

[11] Cherrier, P.: *Problèmes de Neumann non lineaires sur les varietes riemanniennes.* J. Funct. Anal. **57** (1994), 154–206.

[12] Chen, W.; Fang, Y.; Li, C.: *Super poly-harmonic property of solutions for Navier boundary problems on a half space.* J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 1522–1555.

[13] Chen, W.; Li, C.; Ou, B.: *Classification of solutions for an integral equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), 330–343.

[14] DelaTorre, A.; González, M.: *Isolated singularities for a semilinear equation for the fractional Laplacian arising in conformal geometry.* Preprint. arXiv.1504.03493

[15] DelaTorre, A.; del Pino, M.; Gonzalez, M.d.M.; Wei, J.: *Delaunay-type singular solutions for the fractional Yamabe Problem.* Preprint. arXiv.1510.08504

[16] Dou, J.; Zhu, M.: *Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on the upper half space.* Int Math Res Notices **2015** (2015), 651–687.
[17] Escobar, J. F.: *Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37** (1988), 687–698.
[18] ——: *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary.* Ann. of Math. (2), **136** (1992), 1–50.
[19] Fefferman, C.; Graham, C. R.: *Conformal invariants.* The mathematical heritage of Elie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). Asterisque 1985, Numero Hors Serie, 95116.
[20] Futamura, T.; Kishi, K.; Mizuta, Y.: *A generalization of Bôcher's theorem for polyharmonic functions.* Hiroshima Math. J. **31** (2001), no. 1, 59–70.
[21] Gazzola, F.; Grunau, H.-C.; Sweers, G.: *Polyharmonic Boundary Value Problems.* Lectures notes in Mathematics no. 1991, 2010.
[22] Ghergu, M.; Moradifam, A.; Taliaferro, S. D. *Isolated singularities of polyharmonic inequalities.* J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 3, 660–680.
[23] Graham, C. R.; Jenne, R.; Mason, L. J.; Sparling, G. A. J.: *Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian I. Existence.* J. London Math. Soc. **46** (1992), 557–565.
[24] Graham, C.R., Zworski, M.: *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry.* Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 89–118.
[25] Hang, F.; Wang, X.; Yan, X.: *An integral equation in conformal geometry.*Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire **26** (2009), 1–21.
[26] Jin, T.; Li,Y. Y.; Xiong, J.: *On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **16** (2014), 1111–1171.
[27] Jin, T.; Li,Y.Y.; Xiong, J.: *The Nirenberg problem and its generalizations: A unified approach.* Preprint. arXiv.1411.5743.
[28] Jin, T.; Maalaoui, A.; Martinazzi, L.; Xiong, J.: *Existence and asymptotics for solutions of a nonlocal Q-curvature equation in dimension three.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **52** (2015), no. 3-4, 469–488.
[29] Lee, J.; Parker, T.: *The Yamabe problem.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **17** (1987), 37–91.
[30] Li, A.; Li, Y.Y.: *On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), no. 10, 1416–1464.
[31] Li, Y.Y.: *Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **6** (2004), 153–180.
[32] Li, Y.Y.; Mastrolia, P.; Monticelli, D. D.: *On conformally invariant equations on* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Nonlinear Anal. **95** (2014), 339–361.
[33] Li, Y.Y.; Xiong, J.: *Compactness of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature. I.* Preprint. arXiv.1506.00739.
[34] Li, Y.Y.; Zhang, L.: *Liouville-type theorems and Harnack-type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations.* J. Anal. Math. **90** (2003), 27–87.
[35] Li,Y.Y.; Zhu, M.: *Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres.* Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), 383–418.
[36] Lin, C.S.: *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 206–231.
[37] Lou, Y.; Zhu, M.: *Classifications of nonnegative solutions to some elliptic problems.* Differential Integral Equations **12** (1999), 601–612.
[38] Lu, G.; Wang, P.; Zhu, J.: *Liouville-type theorems and decay estimates for solutions to higher order*

elliptic equations. Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linaire **29** (2012), 653–665.
[39] Ou, B.: *Positive harmonic functions on the upper half space satisfying a nonlinear boundary condition.* Differential Integral Equations **9** (1996), 1157–1164.
[40] Reichel, W.; Weth, T.: *Existence of solutions to nonlinear, subcritical higher order elliptic Dirichlet problems.* J. Differential Equations **248** (2010), 1866–1878.
[41] Schoen, R.: *The existence of weak solutions with prescribed singular behavior for a conformally invariant scalar equation.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), no. 3, 317–392.
[42] Wei, J.; Xu, X.: *Classification of solutions of higher order conformally invariant equations.* Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 2, 207–228.
[43] Xu, X.: *Uniqueness and non-existence theorems for conformally invariant equations.* J. Funct. Anal. **222** (2005), 1–28.
[44] Yang, R.: *On higher order extensions for the fractional Laplacian.* Preprint. arXiv.1302.4413.

L. Sun
Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University
110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA
Email: ls680@math.rutgers.edu

J. Xiong
School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University
Beijing 100875, China
Email: jx@bnu.edu.cn